Aziridine–Allylsilane-Mediated Total Synthesis of (–)-Yohimbane

Stephen C. Bergmeier* and Punit P. Seth

Division of Medicinal Chemistry and Pharmacognosy, College of Pharmacy and the Comprehensive Cancer Center, The Ohio State University, 500 West 12th Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43210-1291

Received December 28, 1998

A total asymmetric synthesis of (–)-yohimbane and *ent*-alloyohimbane is reported. The synthesis utilizes a novel aziridine–allylsilane cyclization reaction as a key step in the synthesis. Treatment of optically pure aziridine–allylsilane **16** with $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ provided a mixture of aminomethyl substituted carbocycles *trans-***20a** and *cis-***20b** in excellent yield and modest diastereoselectivity (trans/cis 3:1). Alkylation of the tosylamide followed by oxidation of the olefin in **20** provided the lactam **38**, which was converted to (–)-yohimbane and *ent*-alloyohimbane by a Bischler–Napieralski reaction. The synthesis provided (–)-yohimbane in eight steps and 24% overall yield (from **16**).

Introduction

(–)-Yohimbane **1** and (–)-alloyohimbane **2** (Figure 1) are members of the rauwolfia alkaloid family.¹ Representative members of this family include reserpine, ajmalicine, and yohimbine (Figure 1). These alkaloids have a characteristic pentacyclic ring framework with the indole ring comprising rings A and B. Much of the stereochemical and functional group complexity resides on the E ring. These alkaloids possess a wide range of interesting biological activities, including antihypertensive and antipsychotic.² Yohimbine and related compounds have served as important pharmacological tools for the differentiation of α -adrenergic receptors.³ Due to the structural complexity and interesting biological activity of this class of alkaloids, they have piqued the interest of synthetic organic chemists for decades.

Since the first synthesis of reserpine⁴ and yohimbine,⁵ a number of other synthetic approaches to this alkaloid family have been reported.⁶ While the synthesis of racemic 1 and 2 has been addressed on numerous



Figure 1.

occasions,⁷ only two asymmetric syntheses of (–)-yohimbane have been reported. The first asymmetric synthesis of (–)-yohimbane was reported in 1991.⁸ These authors synthesized (–)-yohimbane utilizing an in situ 1,4addition/ring-closure reaction of a chiral α -sulfinyl

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: 614-292-5499. E-mail: bergmeier.1@osu.edu.

⁽¹⁾ Woodson, R. E.; Youngken, H. W.; Schlittler, E.; Schneider, J. A. In *Rauwolfia: Botany, Pharmacognosy, Chemistry and Pharmacology*, Little Brown and Co: Boston, 1957.

⁽²⁾ (2) Scott, J. A.; Crews, F. T. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **1983**, *224*, 640.

^{(3) (}a) Baldwin, J. J.; Huff, J. R.; Randall, W. C.; Vacca, J. P.; Zrada, M. M. *Eur. J. Med. Chem., Chim. Ther.* **1985**, *20*, 67. (b) Clark, R. D.; Michel, A. D.; Whiting, R. L. Pharmacology and Structure–Activity Relationships of α_2 -Adrenoreceptor Antagonists. In *Progress in Medicinal Chemistry*; Ellis, G. P., Ed.; West Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1986; Vol. 23, p 1.

⁽⁴⁾ Woodward, R. B.; Bader, F. E.; Bickel, H.; Frey, A. J.; Kierstead,
R. W. *Tetrahedron* 1958, 2, 1.
(5) van Tamelen, E. E.; Shamma, M.; Burgstahler, A. W.; Wolinsky,

⁽⁵⁾ van Tamelen, E. E.; Shamma, M.; Burgstahler, A. W.; Wolinsky, J.; Tamm, R.; Aldrich, P. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1958**, *80*, 5006.

⁽⁶⁾ For a recent review of syntheses of yohimbine alkaloids, see: (a) Baxter, E. W.; Mariano, P. S. In *Alkaloids: Chemical and Biological Perspectives*, Pelletier, S. W., Ed.; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1992; Vol. 8, pp 197–319. (b) Aube, J.; Ghosh, S. In *Advances in Heterocyclic Natural Product Synthesis*, Pearson, W. H., Ed.; JAI Press, Inc.: Greenwich, CT, 1996; Vol. 3, pp 99–150. For some more recent approaches to this alkaloid family, see: (c) Lee, A. W. M.; Chan, W. H.; Mo, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 3001. (d) Mehta, G.; Reddy, D. S. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* **1 1998**, 2125. (e) Hanessian, S.; Pan, J.; Carnell, A.; Bouchard, H.; Lesage, L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 465. (f) Holzapfel, C. W.; van der Merwe, T. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 2307. (g) Logers, M.; Overman, L. E.; Welmaker, G. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 9139. (h) Martin, S. F.; Clark, C. W.; Corbett, J. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 3236.

⁽⁷⁾ For some racemic approaches to yohimbane, see: (a) Wender, P. A.; Smith, T. E. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, 61, 824. (b) Naito, T.; Miyata, O.; Tada, Y.; Nishiguchi, Y.; Kiguchi, T.; Ninomiya, I. Chem. Pharm. Bull. **1986**, 34, 4144. (c) Martin, S. F.; Williamson, S. A.; Gist, R. P.; Smith, K. M. J. Org. Chem. **1983**, 48, 5170. (e) Kametani, T.; Suzuki, T.; Unno, K. Tetrahedron **1981**, 37, 3819. (f) Rosenmund, P.; Trommer, W.; Dorn-Zachertz, D.; Ewerdwalbesloh, U. Liebigs Ann. Chem. **1979**, 1643. (g) Morrison, G. C.; Cetenko, W. A.; Shavel, J., Jr. J. Org. Chem. **1966**, 31, 2695. These workers prepared (-)-yohimbane by resolution of racemic yohimbane. (e) van Tamelen, E. E.; Shamma, M.; Aldrich, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1956**, 78, 4628. (f) Corsano, S.; Panizzi, L. Ann. Chim. **1958**, 48, 1025.

^{(8) (}a) Hua, D. H.; Bharathi, S. N.; Takusagawa, F.; Tsujimoto, A.;
Panangadan, J. A. K.; Hung, M.-H.; Bravo, A. A.; Erpelding, A. M. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 5659. (b) Hua, D. H.; Bharathi, S. N.; Panangadan, J. A. K.; Tsujimoto, A. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 6998.



ketimine anion with an ene ester. Recently, Aube published an elegant oxaziridine rearrangement approach to this alkaloid family.⁹

We recently communicated a novel intramolecular cyclization reaction between aziridines and allylsilanes¹⁰ (Scheme 1). The product of this reaction is an aminomethyl-substituted carbocycle 7. We envisioned that amino olefins such as 7 could be extremely useful for the asymmetric synthesis of alkaloids, especially the rauwolfia family. To demonstrate the synthetic utility of these amino olefins, we report here the use of this cyclization reaction as a key step in the synthesis of (–)yohimbane as a means to developing a general synthetic route to this alkaloid family.

Retrosynthetic Analysis

The lactam **10** is a well-known intermediate for the final cyclization to form the C ring of the pentacyclic compound **9** (Scheme 2). This cyclization is readily done by a Bischler–Napieralski reaction.¹¹ The lactam **10** should be accessible from the ester **11** (where R' is either H or 3-ethylindole) by removal of the tosyl group. The ester **11** can, in turn, be prepared from the olefin **12** via





a hydroboration/oxidation sequence. The 3-ethylindole group will have to be added by an alkylation to either the olefin **12** ($\mathbf{R'} = \mathbf{H}$) or ester **11** ($\mathbf{R'} = \mathbf{H}$). The olefin **12** is readily accessible in enantiomerically pure form via our aziridine–allylsilane cyclization.

Results and Discussion

Our initial synthetic efforts were directed toward developing a convenient synthesis of enantiopure aziridine-allylsilane 16 (Scheme 3). Our first-generation synthesis of this type of molecule was racemic and involved two low-yielding steps to introduce both the aziridine and the allylsilane moeities.¹⁰ After exploring a few other routes, we realized that 16 could be synthesized by the reaction of an aziridine 15 with an appropriate organometallic reagent 14.12 While this was a useful method to provide a quick access to chiral **16** (>97% ee), we could not obtain reproducible yields when the reaction was carried out on a scale >2 mmol. Hence, we decided to synthesize 16 via a stepwise process starting from the aziridine 17.12 Reaction with the cuprate 14 provided the ring-opened intermediate 18 in almost quantitative yield. Deprotection of the silyl ether using ⁿBu₄NF provided the alcohol 19, which was then converted to the aziridine 16 via a Mitsunobu reaction.¹³ This sequence provided us with an equivalent yield of 16 (83% from 17) as compared to the single-step procedure. More importantly, this sequence could be conveniently carried out on a 5 mmol or greater scale.

The aziridine **16** was then cyclized by treatment with $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ (300–400 mol %) to provide the amino olefins *trans-20a* and *cis-20b* (Scheme 4) as an inseparable mixture (diastereoselectivity 2.8:1–2:1).¹⁴ A number of different Lewis acids were used in an attempt to improve the stereoselectivity of the reaction. Unfortunately, use

^{(9) (}a) Aube, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 4509. (b) Aube, J.; Wang, Y.; Hammond, M.; Tanol, M.; Takusagawa, F.; Vander Velde, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, *112*, 4879. (c) Aube, J.; Ghosh, S.; Tanol, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, *116*, 9009.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Bergmeier, S. C.; Seth, P. P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 3793. (11) Whaley, W. M.; Govindachari, T. R. The preparation of 3,4dihydroisoquinolines and related compounds by the Bischler/Napieralski reaction. In *Organic Reactions*; Adams, R., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1951; Vol. 6, p 74.

⁽¹²⁾ Bergmeier, S. C.; Seth, P. P. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 2671.

^{(13) (}a) Mitsunobu, O. *Synthesis* **1981**, 1–28. (b) Huges, D. L. The Mitsunobu Reaction. In *Organic Reactions*; Paquette, L., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1992; Vol. 42, p 335.

⁽¹⁴⁾ The stereoselectivity of the reaction was dependent on the temperature at which the Lewis acid was added to the reaction. Typically, when BF₃·OEt₂ was added to the reaction at -78 °C and the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature gradually and then stirred for 24 h, the ratio of **20a:20b** was observed to be 2.8:1. However when the BF₃·OEt₂ was added to the reaction at 0 °C and the reaction then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 24 h, the ratio of **20b:20b** was observed to be ca. 2:1.



of stronger Lewis acids such as TiCl₄ and SnCl₄ or Lewis acids with strongly nucleophilic counterions such as MgBr₂ resulted in opening of the aziridine ring with the Lewis acid counterion even at -78 °C. Use of TMSOTf did provide us with some of the desired product, but this was usually accompanied by protodesilyation as well as formation of some other unidentified products. Use of weaker Lewis acids such as Ti(OiPr)₄, Yb(OTf)₃, and Zn-(OTf)₂ did not result in any reaction even at elevated temperatures. Use of protic acids such as CF₃CO₂H resulted in decomposition. Use of solvents other than CH₂Cl₂ was also unsuccessful.

The formation of the major trans isomer could be explained as occurring via a chairlike conformation (Scheme 5). Here, the aziridine and the allylsilane arrange themselves in an equatorial orientation (conformation A). This arrangement reduces the unfavorable steric interactions that are seen in conformation B. An alternate arrangement, in which the allylsilane is in an axial arrangement, would seem to be precluded due to $A^{(1,3)}$ strain.¹⁵ Coordination of the Lewis acid with the aziridine causes polarization of the more substituted C-N bond of the aziridine ring. This induces nucleophilic attack by the allylsilane to form the positively charged intermediate 21, which can then undergo an elimination reaction to provide **20a**.¹⁶ The aziridine ring opening takes place in an S_N2 fashion, with approach of the nucleophile being anti to the aziridine ring. The formation of the minor cis isomer 20b could be explained as occurring via conformation B. In this conformation, the aziridine adopts an axial conformation. This results in unfavorable steric interactions, culminating in the formation of the cis-fused carbocycle 20b (minor product).

The stereochemistry of the trans- and the cis-fused carbocycles was previously assigned by us using NOE and NOESY spectroscopy.¹⁰ To unambiguously assign the stereochemistry of **20a** and **20b**, we first attempted to separate the diastereomeric sulfonamides by HPLC. While we could separate **20a**,**b** on an analytical scale, the HPLC procedure was not suitable for separation of **20a**,**b** on a preparative scale.¹⁷ We therefore decided to convert them to the lactams **24** and **25**, respectively (Scheme 6). To this end, the olefin of **20** was hydroborated using 9-BBN to provide the primary alcohol **23** as the exclusive product. The alcohol **23** was then oxidized¹⁸ to

the *N*-tosyl lactams **24** (47%) and **25** (22%), which were separable by flash chromatography. The stereochemistry of these lactams was then confirmed by examination of coupling constants and by NOE spectroscopy.

In lactam **24**, hydrogens H_a-H_f showed up as distinct signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum. Due to the trans ring fusion, the coupling constants J_{HaHd} (11.5 Hz) and J_{HbHe} (11.8 Hz) were large (diaxial coupling constants). In comparison, the coupling constants between J_{HaHc} (4.5 Hz) and J_{HbHf} (4.9 Hz) were small (axial–equatorial coupling constants). H_d also showed an NOE to H_b (4.2%) but not to H_a , while H_c showed an NOE to H_a (6.1%) but not to H_b , further confirming the trans ring fusion.

In lactam **25**, hydrogens H_a-H_d showed up as distinct signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum. Due to the cis ring fusion, the dihedral angle between both H_a-H_c and H_a-H_d should be small. As a result, the coupling constants between J_{HaHc} (6.3 Hz) and J_{HaHd} (5 Hz) were smaller and of similar magnitude. Irradiation of H_c in the NOE experiment showed enhancements in the signals for both H_a (6.6%) and H_b (2.1%), indicating a cis relationship between these protons and consequently confirming the cis ring fusion in **25**.

The next step in the synthesis involved alkylation of the tosylamide **20** with indole **26**.¹⁹ Although this transformation appeared fairly straightforward, it proved to be rather difficult (Scheme 7). Reaction of the indole **26** with **20** did not provide any of the desired alkylated product. Instead only the vinyl indole **27** was obtained along with unreacted **20**. Similar results were obtained even when a large excess of the bromide **26** was used. A number of different bases and solvents were also tried without any success.

We next attempted the coupling by means of a Mitsunobu reaction²⁰ between the alcohol **28** and the tosylamide 20. Once again, the only product obtained was the unreacted tosylamide. Use of a large excess of reagents and different reaction conditions also failed completely. The coupling was also attempted by utilizing the chlorides **29**²¹ and **30**²² again with no success. We therefore turned our attention toward deprotection of the tosylamide **20** with the intention of alkylating the amine **31**, which we thought would be relatively facile. A number of standard protocols for the deprotection of the tosyl group including Na/NH₃,²³ SmI₂,²⁴ and HBr/phenol²⁵ were attempted. All of these resulted in decomposition of the starting tosylamide. The desired amine was only obtained in trace amounts from the above reactions. We then decided to examine leaving groups other than bromide in the alkylation reaction. To this end, the mesylate 32

- (21) Hochstein, F. A.; Paradies, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 5735.
- (22) Bergman, J.; Backvall, J.-E.; Lindstrom, J.-O. Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 971.
 - (23) Yamazaki, N.; Kibayashi, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 1396.
 - (24) Vedejs, E.; Lin, S. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 1602.
- (25) Roemmele, R. C.; Rapoport, H. J. Org. Chem. **1988**, 53, 2367.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Synclinal transition states (allylsilane equatorial) are preferred over antiperiplanar transition states (allylsilane axial) in intramolecular reactions of allylsilanes. A possible explanation for this preference could be the unfavorable $A^{(1,3)}$ interaction between the allylci protons of the allylsilane and axial hydrogen of the forming ring when the allylsilane is in an axial orientation. (a) Lolkema, L. D. M.; Semeyn, C.; Ashek, L.; Hiemstra, H.; Speckamp, W. N. *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 7129. (b) Mann, A.; Nativi, C. Taddei, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 3247. For some more examples of intramolecular reactions of allylsilanes, see: (c) Fleming, I.; Barbero, A.; Walter, D. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *97*, 2063.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Hosomi, A.; Sakurai, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 1673. (b) Schinzer, D. Synthesis 1988, 263.

⁽¹⁷⁾ In our previous work,¹⁰ we assigned the stereochemistry of a mixture of **20a** and **20b** using NOE and NOESY spectroscopy. Since then, we have developed an HPLC method for the baseline separation of these diastereomers on an analytical scale. Unfortunately, all of our attempts to separate these diastereomers on a preparative scale were not successful, as we could load only less than 1 mg of sample and still not obtain separation that was seen on an analytical scale.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Carlsen, P. H. J.; Katsuki, T.; Martin, V. S.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Org. Chem. **1981**, 46, 3936.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Trost, B. M.; Quale, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2469.

⁽²⁰⁾ Tsunoda, T.; Yamamoto, H.; Goda, K.; Ito, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 2457.

Ts

27

no reaction

no reaction

NH₂

Tsľ

н

Ts



Scheme 5

was prepared from the alcohol **28**. To our delight, this reaction worked extremely well to provide us with the alkylated product **33** in excellent yield.²⁶ Although we did obtain some amount of the vinyl indole **27** from the reaction, this could be rectified by using a slight excess of the mesylate **32**.

With olefin **33** in hand, all that remained to complete the synthesis was oxidation of the olefin followed by a Bischler–Napieralski reaction. The olefin of **33** was hydroborated using 9-BBN to provide the alcohol **34** (Scheme 8). Use of BH₃·THF provided mixtures of the primary and secondary alcohols. The next step in the synthesis involved oxidation of the alcohol **34** to the carboxylic acid **36**. A number of different oxidants were available for this purpose.²⁷ We initially decided to use RuO₂ as our oxidizing agent.^{18,28} In our hands, this oxidizing system gave very poor results. The major products of this reaction appeared to be the corresponding Ts 32 33 aldehyde, which showed considerable decomposition in the aromatic region of the ¹H NMR spectra of the crude product. A number of other reaction conditions including Jones,²⁹ TEMPO,³⁰ CrO₃-acetic anhydride³¹ were also attempted but without success. The primary product seen

ÓMs

K₂CO₃, DMF, 92%

Ν

NHTs

Ĥ

20

with these oxidants was the aldehyde. Due to the poor results obtained, we decided to attempt this transformation in a stepwise fashion. The alcohol **34** was oxidized to the aldehyde **35** using the Swern conditions³² in excellent yield. The oxidation was also successful when DMP³³ or PCC³⁴ was used as the oxidant. However, the Swern conditions were found to give the best results. We then attempted to oxidize the aldehyde

(32) Mancuso, A. J.; Huang, S.-L.; Swern., D. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2480.

⁽²⁶⁾ The best results for the alkylation reaction were obtained when DMF was used straight from the bottle. Use of DMF that had been distilled over BaO resulted in lower yields and longer reaction times. Also, under anhydrous conditions, use of KH instead of K_2CO_3 resulted in lower yields of the alkylated product.

^{(27) (}a) Larock, R. C. In *Comprehensive Organic Transformations.* A guide to functional group preparations; VCH Publishers: New York, 1989; pp 834–841.

^{(28) (}a) Behrens, C. H.; Sharpless, K. B. *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 5696. (b) Paquette, L. A.; Dressel, J.; Pansegrau, P. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 4965.

⁽²⁹⁾ Millar, J. G.; Oehlschlager, A. C.; Wong, J. W. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4404.

⁽³⁰⁾ Anelli, P. L.; Biffi, C.; Montanari, F.; Quici, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 2559.

⁽³¹⁾ Corey, E. J.; Samuelsson, B. J. Org. Chem. **1984**, 49, 4735.



to the acid using RuCl₃–NaIO₄²⁷ and NaOCl³⁵ but again without any success. The aldehyde was finally oxidized to the acid using KMnO₄ in buffered phosphate solution³⁶ in good yield. The crude acid **36** was then readily converted to the methyl ester **37** using methyl chloroformate and triethylamine³⁷ (65% from **35**) or Me₃-SiCHN₂³⁸ (80% from **35**).

38 ⁺

The next crucial step in the synthesis was deprotection of the two tosylamide protecting groups in 37. We first attempted the deprotection using SmI₂.²⁴ This reaction did not provide us with the desired product. We then attempted the reaction using sodium naphthalenide.³⁹ This procedure did not provide us with the corresponding deprotected ester but instead provided us with the lactam **38**, which was now set for a ring closure to provide us with our final product. The lactam 38 was then subjected to the Bischler-Napieralski conditions to provide (-)yohimbane and ent-alloyohimbane (Scheme 9) as a mixture that could be easily separated by chromatography on silica gel, thus completing our total synthesis. The analytical data of our synthesized product were in complete agreement with those reported in the literature.7g,90

In conclusion, we have synthesized (-)-yohimbane in eight steps and 24% overall yield (from **16**), utilizing a



novel aziridine-allysilane cyclization reaction as the key step in the synthesis to form the E ring. By incorporating appropriate functionality along the tether between the aziridine and the allylsilane, this cyclization should also serve as an effective route for the synthesis of more complex members of the rauwolfia alkaloid family.

Experimental Section⁴⁰

(8R)-1-(Trimethylsilyl)-8-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino-9-[(tert-butyldimethyl)silyl]oxonon-2-ene (18). t-BuLi (19.5 mL of a 1.58 M solution in pentane, 30.8 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of 1-(trimethylsilyl)-6iodohex-2-ene¹² (3.95 g, 14 mmol) in Et₂O (28 mL) at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was then allowed to stir at -78 °C for 10 min, after which it was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 60 min. The reaction mixture was then recooled to -78 °C. In a separate flask, CuI (0.95 g, 5 mmol) was dissolved in *n*-Bu₃P (5.8 mL, 23.5 mmol) and Et₂O (2 mL). The slightly turbid solution of CuI/n-Bu₃P in Et_2O was then transferred to the organolithium prepared above using additional Et₂O (26 mL). The yellowish cuprate solution thus formed was warmed to -40 °C and stirred for 10 min, after which it was recooled to -78 °C. The aziridine $17^{12}(1.71 \text{ g}, 5 \text{ mmol})$ was dissolved in Et₂O (2 mL) and added to the reaction mixture via cannula. The reaction was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min, after which it was warmed to room temperature and stirred for another 30 min. The reaction was quenched by adding saturated NH₄Cl solution and the organic layer washed with saturated NH₄Cl and brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. Chromatography (4% EtOAc in hexanes-15% EtOAc in hexanes) provided 18 (2.42 g, 97%) as a colorless oil: $R_f 0.3$ (15% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D + 20.9^\circ$ (*c* 2.1, EtOAc); ¹H NMR δ 7.69 (d, 2H, J = 8.26), 7.22 (d, 2H, J = 8.05), 5.33– 5.13 (m, 2H), 4.76 (d, 1H, J = 8.32), 3.37 (dd, 1H, J = 3.25, 9.97), 3.26 (dd, 1H, J = 4.39, 9.97), 3.15 (m, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.85 (m, 2H), 1.37 (d, overlapped, 2H, J = 7.76), 1.46-1.1 (m, 6H), 0.78 (s, 9H), -0.06 (s, 9H), -0.09 (s, 3H), -0.1 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR δ 143.1, 138.4, 129.5, 127.2, 127, 125.4, 64.1, 54.9, 32, 29.4, 26.8, 25.8, 25.3, 21.4, 18.4, 18.2, -1.8, -5.7. Anal. Calcd for C25H47NO3Si2S 0.25 H2O: C, 59.78; H, 9.53; N, 2.78. Found: C, 59.69; H, 9.52; N, 2.67.

 ^{(33) (}a) Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4155. (b)
 Meyer, S. D.; Schreiber, S. L. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 7550. (c) Ireland,
 R. E.; Liu, L. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 2899.

 ⁽³⁴⁾ Corey, E. J.; Suggs, J. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 15, 2647.
 (35) Stevens, R. V.; Chapman, K. T.; Stubbs, C. A.; Tam, W. W.;

⁽³⁶⁾ Abixo, A.; Roberts, J. C.; Takemasa, T.; Masamune, S. *Tetra*

 ⁽³⁷⁾ Kim, S.; Kim, Y. C.; Lee, J. I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3365.

⁽³⁸⁾ Hashimoto, N.; Aoyama, T.; Shioiri, T. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1981**, *29*, 1475.

⁽³⁹⁾ Ji, S.; Gortler, L. B.; Waring, A.; Battisti, A.; Bank, S.; Closson, W. D. Wriede, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 5311.

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Whatman precoated silica gel F254 aluminum foil. Visualization was accomplished with UV light and/or phosphomolybdic acid solution followed by heating. Purification of the reaction products was carried out by flash column chromatography using glass column dry packed with silica gel (230-400 mesh ASTM) according to the method of Still.43 1H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra referenced to TMS were recorded using a Bruker AF 250 or Bruker DRX 400 model spectrometer. NOE experiments were carried out using a Bruker DRX 400 spectrometer. Unless noted, all spectra were recorded in CDCl₃. Data are reported as follows: chemical shift in ppm from internal standard tetramethylsilane on the δ scale, multiplicity (b = broad, s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q quartet, and m = multiplet), integration, coupling constant (Hz). All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen unless specified otherwise. Glassware was flame dried under a flow of nitrogen. Tetrahydrofuran and diethyl ether were distilled over Sodium/benzophenone ketyl immediately prior to use. Dichloromethane, DME, and benzene were distilled over CaH_2 prior to use. Exact mass measurements recorded in the electron impact (EI) or fast atom bombardment (FAB) modes were determined at The Ohio State University Campus Chemical Instrument Center with a Kratos MS-30 mass spectrometer. Combustion analyses were performed at Quantitative Technologies Inc., Whitehouse, NJ. Optical rotations were recorded using a Perkin-Elmer 241 model polarimeter.

(R)-2-[7-(Trimethylsilyl)hept-5-en]-N-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]aziridine (16). n-Bu₄NF (5.5 mL of a 1 M solution in THF, 5.5 mmol) was added dropwise over 5 min to a solution of the alcohol 18 (2.42 g, 4.85 mmol) in THF (5 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min, after which it was diluted with water. The aqueous layer was then extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 10 mL), and the combined organic layers washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The crude product thus obtained was dried under vacuum (2 mmHg) overnight and used without any further purification. The crude alcohol 19 obtained from above was transferred to a flame-dried flask containing Ph₃P (1.34 g, 5.11 mmol), using THF (19 mL). The resulting solution was cooled in an ice bath. Diethylazodicarboxylate (0.81 mL, 5.11 mmol) was added to the reaction over 5 min via a syringe, and the reaction mixture was then allowed to stir for 3 h after which the solvent was removed under vacuum. The resulting thick oil was triturated with hexanes resulting in precipitation of a white solid (Ph₃-PO), which was filtered to provide a solution that was then concentrated. Chromatography (8% EtOAc in hexanes) provided the aziridine **16** (1.51 g, 86% from **18**) as a colorless oil. The analytical data for 16 were identical to those reported earlier.12

(1S,2R)-1-Ethene-2-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]aminomethylcyclohexane (20a) and (1R,2R)-1-Ethene-2-[(4methylphenyl)sulfonyl]aminomethylcyclohexane (20b). Freshly distilled BF₃·OEt₂ (1.5 mL, 12.3 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) was added to a solution of the aziridine 16 (1.5 g, 4.1 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (38 mL) at 0 °C over 5 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 60 min, after which it was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 18 h. The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of saturated K₂CO₃ solution. The organic layer was then washed with saturated K₂CO₃, brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. Chromatography (12% EtOAc in hexanes) provided an inseparable mixture of 20a:20b (2:1, 1.13 g, 94%) as a colorless oil. Analytical data were identical to those reported earlier.¹⁰

(1S,2R)-1-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-2-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]aminomethylcyclohexane (23a) and (1*R*,2*R*)-1-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-2-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]aminomethylcyclohexane (23b). 9-BBN (5.5 mL of a 0.5 M solution in THF, 2.7 mmol) was added to a solution of the olefin 20 (0.2 g, 0.68 mmol) in THF (1.4 mL). The reaction was then stirred at room temperature for 3.5 h. The reaction mixture was cooled in an ice bath, and the excess 9-BBN was quenched with EtOH (1.6 mL) and stirred for 5 min followed by addition of 6 N NaOH (0.54 mL) and H₂O₂ (1 mL, 30% solution). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 60 min and cooled to room temperature. The reaction mixture was then diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. Chromatography (35% EtOAc in hexanes) provided 23 (0.19 g, 90%, 2:1 mixture of trans and cis isomers): R_f 0.24 (35% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR δ 7.69 (d, 2H, J = 8.26), 7.22 (d, 2H, J = 8.15), 5.88* (t, 1H, J = 6.41), 5.67 (t, 1H, J = 6.43), 3.7-3.5 (m, 2H), 2.9-2.4 (m, 3H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.85-1.09 (m, 10H), 1.08-0.8 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (* indicates signal arising from minor cis isomer) δ 143, 142.9*, 137.1*, 137, 129.5, 126.9, 61*, 60.2, 46.1, 44.2*, 41.4, 39.4*, 35.5, 35.4, 32.2*, 31.6, 30.1, 28.8*, 26.2*, 25.6, 25.5, 24.1*, 22.1*, 21.3. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₅NO₃S: C, 61.7; H, 8.09; N, 4.49. Found: C, 61.9; H, 8.37; N, 4.1.

(4aS,8aR)-N-[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]decahydroisoquinolin-3-one (24) and (4aR,8aR)-N-[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]decahydroisoquinolin-3-one (25). RuCl₃·3H₂O (4.4 mg, 0.02 mmol) in H₂O (2 mL) was added to a mixture of the alcohol 23 (165 mg, 0.53 mmol) in CCl₄/CH₃CN (1:1, 2.4 mL) and NaIO₄ (0.41 g, 1.9 mmol). The reaction mixture was then stirred for 18 h, after which it was diluted with water (5 mL), the aqueous layer was extracted with $CHCl_3$ (2 \times 5 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. Chromatography (20% EtOAc in hexanes) provided 24 (78 mg, 47%) and 25 (36 mg, 22%). Analytical data for **24**: $R_f 0.6$ (35% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D = +31.4^\circ$ (*c* 1.52, EtOAc); ¹H NMR δ 7.9 (d, 2H, J = 8.36), 7.3 (d, 2H, J = 8.31), 4.16 (dd, 1H, J = 4.52, 11.73), 3.16 (t, 1H, J = 11.46), 2.45 (partially overlapped dd, 1H, J = 4.9, 17.97), 2.42 (s, 3H), 2.05 (dd, 1H, J = 11.75, 17.83), 1.9 - 1.65 (m, 4H), 1.6 - 0.8 (m, 6H);¹³C NMR 169.7, 144.5, 136.1, 129.1, 128.5, 52.1, 40.9, 38.5, 36.5, 32.1, 29.3, 25.1, 25, 21.5. Analytical data for **25**: R_f 0.51 (35% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D = +27.3^{\circ}$ (c 1.35, EtOAc); ¹H NMR δ 7.86 (d, 2H, J = 8.41), 7.28 (d, 2H, J = 8.58), 3.95 (dd, 1H, J = 6.26, 12.3), 3.79 (dd, 1H, J = 5, 12.3), 2.42 (s, 3H), 2.35 (partially overlapped dd, 2H, *J* = 2.95, 6.93), 1.9 (m, 2H), 1.7-1.2 (m, $\tilde{8}$ H); ${}^{13}C$ NMR δ 170, 144.6, 136.2, 129.2, 128.6, 49.3, 36.7, 33.4, 32.1, 28.2, 25.9, 23.1, 21.9, 21.6. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₁NO₃S: C, 62.51; H, 6.89; N, 4.56. Found: C, 62.57; H, 7.05; N, 4.36.

N-(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl-3-[(2-methylsulfonyloxo)ethyl]indole (32). tert-Butyldimethylsilyl chloride (7 g, 46 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added dropwise over 20 min to a cold solution (0 °C) of tryptophol⁴¹ (6.8 g, 42 mmol), triethylamine (8.8 mL, 63 mmol), and DMAP (0.5 g, 4.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (45 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h, after which it was diluted with CHCl₃ (50 mL), and the organic phase was washed with 1 M HCl, saturated NaHCO₃, and $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ rine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated to provide *O*-(*tert*butyl
dimethylsilyl)tryptophol (18 g, crude): $\,^1\!\hat{H}$ NMR
 δ 7.9 (br, 1H), 7.56 (d, 1H, J = 8.75), 7.25 (m, 3H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 3.85 (t, 2H, J = 7.5), 2.94 (t, 2H, J = 7.5), 0.92 (s, 9H), 0.0 (s, 6 H). The crude O-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)tryptophol (18 g, 42 mmol), tosyl chloride (9.8 g, 51 mmol), and n-Bu₄NHSO₄⁴² (0.3 g, 0.84 mmol) were dissolved in toluene (250 mL). The resulting solution was vigorously stirred with 10% NaOH solution (500 mL) for 18 h, after which the phases were separated and the organic phase was washed with water (200 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated to provide N-tosyl-O-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)tryptophol (9.5 g, crude): ¹H NMR δ 8.0 (d, 1H, J = 8.75), 7.74 (d, 2H, J = 8.31), 7.48-7.16 (m, 6H), 3.85 (t, 2H, J =7.5), 2.94 (t, 2H, J = 7.5), 2.28 (s, 3 H), 0.9 (s, 9H), 0.0 (s, 6H). The crude *N*-tosyl-*O*-(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)tryptophol (9.5 g, 22 mmol) was dissolved in THF (25 mL), and the solution was cooled in an ice bath. n-Bu₄NF (24 mL, 24 mmol) was added to the above solution, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h. The reaction mixture was stopped by addition of water (50 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (2×25 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated to provide N-tosyltryptophol (6.2 g, crude): ¹H NMR δ 8.0 (d, 1H, J = 8.75), 7.74 (d, 2H, J = 8.31), 7.48–7.16 (m, 6H), 3.85 (t, 2H, J = 7.5), 2.94 (t, 2H, J = 7.5), 2.28 (s, 3 H), 2.12 (br, 1H). The crude N-tosyltryptophol (6.2 g, 19.7 mmol), triethylamine (4.12 mL, 29.55 mmol), and DMAP (0.25 g, 2 mmol) were dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL), and the resulting solution was cooled in an ice bath (0 °C). Freshly distilled methanesulfonyl chloride (2.48 g, 21.7 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was slowly added to the solution above, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h, after which it was diluted with CHCl₃ and the organic phase was extracted with 1 M HCl, saturated NaHCO₃, and brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. Chromatography (35% EtOAc in hexanes) provided the mesylate 32 (7.73 g, 46% from tryptophol) as a viscous, sticky oil: R_f 0.3 (35% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR δ 7.98 (d, 1H, J = 8.32), 7.74 (d, 2H, J = 8.41 Hz), 7.45 (m, 2H), 7.4–7.2 (m, 4H), 4.43 (t, 2H, J = 6.84), 3.12 (t, 2H, J = 7), 2.83 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H); $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR δ 144.9, 135.4, 135.2, 130.3, 129.9, 126.8, 125.0, 124.2, 123.3, 119.1, 117.3, 113.8, 68.4, 37.4, 25.2, 21.4; HRMS for C₁₈H₁₉NO₅S₂ calcd 393.0706, found 393.0712.

Indole Olefin (33). K₂CO₃ (1.41 g, 10.2 mmol) was added to a solution of the tosylamide 20 (0.75 g, 2.5 mmol) and the mesylate 32 (2 g, 5.11 mmol) in DMF (7 mL), and the reaction mixture was then warmed to 70 °C and stirred for 24 h. The reaction mixture was then diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. Chromatography (25% hexanes in CHCl₃) provided **33**

⁽⁴¹⁾ Tryptophol is commercially available (Aldrich) or can be easily prepared by a two-step process from indole.²¹
(42) Illi, V. O. Synthesis, **1979**, 136.

⁽⁴³⁾ Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923.

(1.32 g, 92%, 3:1 mixture of trans and cis isomers) as a foamy solid: R_f 0.33 (20% hexanes in CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 7.89 (d, 1H, J = 8.25), 7.69 (d, 2H, J = 8.21), 7.41 (m, 1H), 7.27, 7.14 (m, 7H), 5.8* (m, 1H), 5.43 (m, 1H), 4.97–4.83 (m, 2H), 3.3–2.68 (m, 6H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 1.9 (m, 1H), 1.59–0.5 (m, 11H); ¹³C NMR (* indicates signal arising form minor cis isomer) δ 144.7, 143.1*, 143, 142.4, 138.6*, 136.6, 136.5*, 135.2, 135.1, 130.4, 129.7, 129.5, 127.1, 127*, 126.9*, 126.7, 124.6, 123.4, 123.3*, 123, 119.2, 119.1, 115.6*, 114.6, 113.6, 53.4, 51.4*, 48.5, 46.3, 41.8*, 40.1, 38.3*, 33.4, 30.4*, 29.8, 25.8*, 25.5, 25.4, 25*, 24.8, 24.1*, 22.3*, 21.4, 21.3. Anal. Calcd for C₃₃H₃₈N₂O₄S₂·0.5H₂O: C, 66.08; H, 6.65; N, 4.67. Found: C, 66.14; H, 6.4; N, 4.39.

Indole Alcohol (34). 9-BBN (17.6 mL of a 0.5 M solution in THF, 8.8 mmol) was added to a solution of the olefin 33 (1.3 g, 2.2 mmol) in THF (4 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3.5 h. The reaction was cooled in an ice bath, and the excess 9-BBN was quenched with EtOH (5.2 mL) and stirred for 5 min followed by addition of 6 N NaOH (1.8 mL) and H₂O₂ (3.5 mL, 30% solution). The reaction was refluxed for 60 min and cooled to room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. Chromatography (35% EtOAc in hexanes) provided 34 (1.17 g, 90%, 3:1 mixture of trans and cis isomers): $R_f = 0.32$ (40% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR δ 7.94 (d, 1H, J = 7.72), 7.73 (d, 2H, J = 8.37), 7.66 (d, 2H, J = 8.3), 7.44 (m, 1H), 7.29-7.17 (m, 8H), 3.7-3.5 (m, 2H), 3.4-3.15 (m, 2H), 3.05-2.79 (m, 3H), 2.4 (s, 3H), 2.3 (s, 3H), 1.9-0.9 (m, 14H); ¹³C NMR (* indicates signal arising form minor cis isomer) δ 144.9, 143.3, 143.2*, 136.6, 136.4*, 135.4, 135.2, 130.5, 129.8, 129.7, 127.2, 126.8, 124.7, 123.5, 123.4*, 123.2, 119.3, 113.7, 61.2*, 60.6, 53.1, 50.2*, 48.7, 48.5*, 40.1, 38.2*, 36.7, 36.1, 33.2*, 31.3*, 31.2, 29.7, 28.5*, 26*, 25.4*, 25.1, 25, 23.8*, 22.7*, 21.5, 21.4. Anal. Calcd for $C_{33}H_{40}N_2O_5$ -S2: C, 65.1; H, 6.62; N, 4.6. Found C, 64.8; H, 6.75; N, 4.2.

Indole Aldehyde (35). Dimethyl sulfoxide (0.16 mL, 2.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was slowly added to a cold (-78 °C) solution of freshly distilled oxalyl chloride (0.1 mL, 1.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL). The resulting solution was then stirred for 45 min at -78 °C. The alcohol **34** (0.54 g, 0.88 mmol) in CH₂-Cl₂ (1 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture via a cannula and the whole stirred at $-78\ ^\circ C$ for 60 min. Et_3N (0.4 mL, 3 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture, which was then slowly allowed to warm to room temperature over 30 min. The reaction was quenched by the addition of a few drops of 1 M HCl and diluted with CHCl₃. The organic phase was then washed with 1 M HCl, saturated NaHCO₃, and brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. Chromatography (30% EtOAc in hexanes) provided the aldehyde **35** (0.47 g, 88%, 3:1 mixture of trans/cis isomers): *R*_f 0.35 (30%) EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR δ 9.65 (m, 1H), 7.96 (d, 1H, J =7.09). 7.75 (d, 2H, J = 8.28), 7.56 (d, 2H, J = 8.28), 7.5 (m, 1H), 7.45-7.2 (m, 8H), 3.4-3.25 (m 2H), 3.1-2.9 (m, 4H), 2.5-2.4 (m, overlapped, 1H), 2.4 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.33-2.11 (m, overlapped, 1H), 1.9-1.6 (m, 4H), 1.5-0.9 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (* indicates signal arising form minor cis isomer) δ 202.3*, 202.1, 144.9, 143.5*, 143.4, 136.4, 136.2*, 135.4, 135.2, 130.5, 129.9, 129.8*, 129.7, 127.2, 126.8, 124.8, 123.6, 123.5*, $123.2,\,119.3,\,119.2,\,113.7,\,53,\,48.8,\,48.4^*,\,48.1,\,42.9^*,\,40,\,37.7^*,$ 34.9, 32.4, 30.9*, 29.6, 29.3*, 26*, 25.2, 25, 24.8, 23.7*, 22.2*, 21.5, 21.4. Anal. Calcd for C33H38N2O5S2.0.5H2O: C, 64.36; H, 6.38; N, 4.54. Found C, 64.12; H, 6.27; N, 4.42.

Indole Ester (37). A 1 M KMnO₄ solution (3 mL) was added to a solution of the aldehyde **35** (0.3 g, 0.45 mmol) in *t*-BuOH (3 mL) containing CHCl₃ (5–10 drops until **35** completely dissolves) and 5% NaH₂PO₄ solution (2 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 10 min and quenched by the addition of solid Na₂SO₃ until the solution turned a dark brown from the initial dark purple color. HCl (1 M) was added to the reaction mixture until the pH of the solution was <1. The reaction was then extracted with EtOAc (2 × 10 mL), and the combined layers were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The crude material was then dissolved in MeOH (1 mL) and benzene (3.5 mL). Me₃SiCHN₂ (0.32 mL of a 1 M solution in hexanes, 0.64 mmol) was then added to the reaction mixture via a syringe, and the whole was stirred for 30 min, after which the reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc (2×5 mL). The combined organic layers were then washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. Flash chromatography (25% EtOAc in hexanes) provided the ester 37 (0.25 g, 80% from 35) as a white foam: $R_f 0.32$ (25% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR δ 7.94 (d, 1H, J = 7.15), 7.72 (d, 2H, J = 8.38), 7.65 (d, 2H, J = 8.25), 7.48 (d, 1H, J = 6.91), 7.3–7.15 (m, 8H), 3.61* (s, 3H), 3.6 (s, 3H), 3.4-2.8 (m, 5H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.34-2.1 (m, overlapped, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.9-0.9 (m, 9H). ¹³C NMR (* indicates signal arising form minor cis isomer) δ 173.3*, 173.1, 144.7, 143.3, $143.2, 136.3, 136.2^*, 135.2^*, 135.1, 130.4, 129.7, 129.6^*, 129.5, \\127.1^*, 127.1, 126.7, 124.7, 123.5^*, 123.4, 123.1, 119.2, 119.1, \\$ 113.6, 52.6, 51.4*, 51.3, 48.6, 48.3*, 39.7, 38.4, 37.1, 37*, 34.3*, 31.9, 29.4, 29.1*, 25.8*, 25.2, 25.1*, 24.9, 24.8, 23*, 22.9*, 21.4, 21.3. Anal. Calcd for $C_{34}H_{40}N_2O_6S_2 \cdot 0.5H_2O$: C, 63.23; H, 6.4; N, 4.33. Found: C, 63.47; H, 6.45; N, 4.17.

Indole Lactam (38). Finely chopped sodium metal (66 mg, 2.9 mmol) and naphthalene (0.37 g, 2.9 mmol) were stirred in DME (3.8 mL) for 90 min during which a greenish black solution formed. The reaction mixture was then cooled in an ice bath. The ester 37 (0.19 g, 0.29 mmol) in DME (2.3 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture via cannula and the solution stirred for 60 min. The reaction was then quenched with water, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was then washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. Chromatography (2% MeOH in CHCl₃) provided the lactam **38** (66 mg, 77%) as a light brown solid: $R_f 0.25$ (2% MeOH in CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 8.27 (br, 1H), 7.67 (d, 1H, J = 7.45), 7.35 (d, 1H, J = 7.64), 7.21-7 (m, 4H), 3.75-3.6 (m, 2H), 3.2-2.8 (m, 4H), 2.6-2.4 (m, 1H), 2.1-1.9 (m, 1H), 1.85-1.6 (m, 5H), 1.6-1.1 (m, 6H), 1-0.8 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (* indicates signal arising form minor cis isomer) δ 169.6, 169.3*, 138.3, 128.3*, 127.6, 122*, 121.9, 121.9, 119.3, 118.8, 113.3, 111.1, 54.4, 51.1*, 48.1*, 48, 39.5, 38.4, 37.1, 35.2*, 33*, 32.6, 32.5*, 29.7, 28.3*, 26.5*, 25.4, 23.1*, 23, 22.6*. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{24}N_2O \cdot 0.75H_2O$: C, 73.82; H, 8.07; N, 9.06. Found: C, 74.04; H, 8.03; N, 8.66.

(-)-Yohimbane (1) and ent-Alloyohimbane (ent-2). The lactam 38 (39 mg, 0.13 mmol) was refluxed in freshly distilled POCl₃ (0.2 mL, 0.2 mmol) for 60 min. Benzene (1.3 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture, which was then refluxed for another 2.5 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated until dryness. The residue thus obtained was taken up in MeOH (2.4 mL), and the reaction mixture was cooled in an ice bath followed by addition of NaBH₄ (20 mg, 0.53 mmol), after which the reaction mixture was stirred for 60 min. The reaction was then quenched by the addition of a few drops of AcOH. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was partitioned between EtOAc and saturated NaHCO₃ solution. The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. Chromatography (17% EtOAc, 1% Et₃N, 82% toluene) provided (-)-yohimbane (22 mg, 59%) and ent-alloyohimbane (8 mg, 22%). Analytical data for 1: $R_f 0.19$ (17% EtOAc, 1% Et₃N, 82% toluene); $[\alpha]_D$ -82.9° (c 0.38, EtOH) [lit.^{7g} [α]_D -81° (c 0.5, EtOH)]. Analytical data for ent-2: R_f 0.24 (17% EtOAc, 1% Et₃N, 82% toluene); $[\alpha]_D$ +146° (*c* 0.1, pyridine) [lit.^{9b} $[\alpha]_D$ of (–)-alloyohimbane -164° (c 0.5, pyridine)]. ¹H and ¹³C NMR for (-)-yohimbane and ent-alloyohimbane matched those previously reported.9

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to gratefully acknowledge Jim Mobley for his help with the HPLC separation and Dr. J. Aube (University of Kansas) for providing us with ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of yohimbane and alloyohimbane.

Supporting Information Available: ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **1**, **ent-2**, and **32** as well as ¹H NMR spectra of **24** and **25**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO9825097